# **CENTRAL RECEIVERS**

## M.M. Elsayed

Mechanical Engineering Department, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait

**Keywords :** Cavity type receiver, Cosine effect, Heliostat, Solar limb angle, Reflected Solar Radiation

## Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Heliostates: Types and Design Shapes
- 3. Heliostat Angles
- 4. Heliostat Field: Heliostat Layout
- 5. Heliostat Field: Cosine Effect
- 6. Heliostat Field: Preliminary Sizing of the Field
- 7. Heliostat Field: Usefulness Efficiency
- 8. Reflected Solar Radiation
- 9. The Effective Sunshape
- 10. Principal Images and Relation Between Receiver and Image Planes
- 11. Models to Predict the Solar Flux Density Distribution on the Image Plane
- 11.1. Incoming Ray Formulation
- 11.2. The Mirror Plane Formulation
- 11.3. Solar Disk Formulation
- 11.4. The Pinhole View

12. Solar Flux Density Distribution on the Receiver Surface Using the Separation of Variables/Superposition Technique

- 12.1. Concept
- 12.2. Calculation of  $\phi$
- 12.3. Validation of Model Using Measurements
- 13. Receivers: Types and Losses
- 14. Preliminary Sizing of the Receiver
- 15. Overall System Optimization

Glossary

Bibliography and Suggestions for further study

# Summary

One way to utilize solar energy in the production of large-scale electric energy is to use central receiver systems. The present work introduces procedures to size two of the very important and vital subsystems in central receiver plants. The first subsystem is the heliostat field. In this field, several heliostats are laid in a given arrangement around a central receiver on top of a tower. Each heliostat rotates around two axes to continuously adjust the tilt and orientation angles of the heliostat to assure the reflection of the solar rays to the central receiver. The reflected energy from the field to the receiver suffers from several deficiencies. Among these deficiencies are the cosine effect of the position of the heliostat with respect to the receiver, the shadowing and blocking caused by the heliostats on one another, and the imperfection in the reflection surface of the heliostats and their tracking mechanism. Therefore, the arrangement by which the heliostats are arranged around the tower and their spacing from one another greatly affect the amount of radiation that falls on the receiver. Procedure were given to layout the heliostats and to size their field for a given thermal MW that falls on the receiver. The second subsystem that we consider here is the receiver subsystem. The type, size and geometry of the receiver are factors that greatly contribute to the initial and operating costs of the central receiver plants. Procedures are given here to estimate the solar flux density on the receiver surface, the thermal losses from it and its size.

# 1. Introduction

Central receivers are one of the most promising applications in the utilization of solar energy to produce electric power on a large scale. Basically, reflecting surfaces called heliostats are laid around a central tower where they reflect solar irradiance to a receiver on the top of the tower. The radiation absorbed by the receiver is then utilized to produce electric power.

The operation of the central receiver systems is best explained by the photograph (Figure 1, Skinrood 1982). Generally, the central receiver system consists of the following major subsystems: the heliostat subsystem, the tower-receiver subsystem, the control subsystem, the thermal storage subsystem and the power generation subsystem.



Figure 1. Photograph of the 10 MW central receiver pilot plant at California, USA. The heliostat field consists of a large number of flat or focusing mirrors (heliostats)

distributed with a given pattern around the central tower. The heliostats can be either distributed on one side of the receiver (the north side in the northern hemisphere), or in a surround-field arrangement (360° layout). The surround field arrangement is most suitable for large scale power applications. Each heliostat is continuously rotating around two axes to follow the sun as it moves so that solar rays are always reflected to the central receiver. This means that the tilt and the orientation angles of each heliostate are continuously adjusted. The reflected radiation from the heliostat field is absorbed by the receiver surface. Heat is then removed from the receiver by means of heat removal fluid and is transferred to a thermal storage tank. Heat from the storage tank is utilized to generate steam in a boiler and this steam is supplied to a turbine coupled to a generator for electric power generation. In some designs steam is generated directly in the receiver at the top of the tower.

Figures 2 and 3 depict two examples of power generation through the use of central receiver systems (Curto and Stern 1982). In the first example (Figure 2), molten salt is used as the heat removal fluid together with a power plant operating in a Rankine cycle. In the second example (Figure 3), air is directly heated in the receiver and used in a Brayton gas power cycle to produce electric power.



Figure 2. Molten salt central receiver system for power generation using a reheat steam cycle.

Although the central receiver systems are originated for power generation through the utilization of solar energy, these systems may also be employed in other fields.

Applications which require high concentrated heat flux are excellent examples. Among these applications are the production of fuels and chemicals through the integration with thermochemical or photochemical conversions schemes (Gupta 1984). Other applications also include those industries which require high temperature air such as of nickel, copper, zinc, lead and steel industries (Curto and Stern 1982).



Figure 3. Forced air central receiver system for Brayton cycle power generation and/or industrial applications.

The overall dimensions and cost of a central receiver system depend on the design output of the system. To understand these dimensions and cost, consider the system shown in Figure 1 which is for the 10 MWe central receiver pilot plant at Barstow, California (USA). The heliostat field consists of 1818 focusing heliosts each of  $39.3 \text{ m}^2$ . The tower height is 90 m. The receiver is cylindrical in shape with a diameter of 7 m and total surface area for radiation collection of  $325 \text{ m}^2$ . The total cost of the plant in 1981 was \$141.5 million.

The designer of a central receiver system faces several problems and challenges to economically optimize his design. Among these challenges are: the selection of the heliostat type: i.e. whether to be flat or focusing mirror; the dimension of each heliostat; the spacing between the heliostats in the field; the field dimensions; the tower height; the receiver geometry and type; the method of heat removal from the receiver; etc. In the following subsections, a review is carried out to identify the numerous design problems and challenges involved in the designing of a central receiver system. When available, design approaches are presented to overcome some of these problems and challenges.

## 2. Heliostats: Types and Design Shapes

The heliostat is a reflecting surface which is laid on the ground at a distance from the central tower, and is used to reflect solar radiation to the receiver at the top of the tower. For this reason each heliostat is continuously rotated around two axes to follow the motion of the sun. The heliostat has either a flat (non-focusing) or a focusing surface. In most cases glass mirrors are used as the reflecting surface. The heliostat usually consists of several segments (facets) for precise redirection of solar rays and easy handling; replacement and manufacturing of the reflecting surface. Figure 4 shows a flat (non-focusing) heliostat (Bartel and Skvarna 1984).



Figure 4. Heliostat configuration.

The solar limb angle, i.e. the angle between solar rays, causes the dimension of the reflected solar image on the receiver surface to increase as the heliostat is moved away from the tower. This leads to what is known as the spillage of the reflected image when its dimensions exceed that of the receiver. For this reason focusing heliostats are used to overcome the spillage problem of the reflected solar image. The focal length of each heliostat is taken equal to the distance between the reflecting surface and the receiver.

Several techniques may be adopted to continuously adjust the tilt and orientation of the reflecting surface. In one technique a sensor is used in a feedback circuit to adjust the angles of the heliostat, see Figure 5 (Hirono and Horigome 1984). Another technique is to use a software computer package to control the heliostat angles. A computer program used for this purpose in an experimental facility is given by Elsayed et al. (1993).

The field of heliostats is the most expensive part of central reviewer plants. For this

reason the cost of the heliostats must be as low as possible for the technology to be commercially viable. Continuous efforts are being made to reduce the cost of glass mirrors. This includes the combination of improved design and the increase in the heliostat sizes. Glass mirror heliostats with reflective areas of  $150 \text{ m}^2$  have been built and found to give good performance (Alpert and Houser 1989). To further reduce the cost a new innovative concept should be introduced.



Figure 5. Reflected beam sensor to adjust the heliostat angles to track the sun.

The stretched membrane is a new innovative technique for manufacturing focusing heliostats. In this technique the reflector surface (aluminum for example) is stretched by slight vacuum. The stretched membrane technique has the advantage of providing a nearly perfect reflected image, low cost, less irregularities and lightweight (Kreith and Meyer 1984). The evaluation of the optical performance of the stretched membrane heliostat is as good as that of the glass-mirror design (Alpert and Houser 1989). The application of composite materials to stretched-membrane heliostat design is investigated by Kiang and Dharan (1989).



#### Bibliography and Suggestions for further study

Abdel-Monem M S, Hildebrandt A F, Lipps F W (1976) A new method for collector field optimization.

Heliotechnique and Development 1, 372-387.

Al-Karaghouli A.A., Alnaser W.E. (2004), *Experimental comparative study of the performance of single and double basin solar-stills*. Appl Energy **77**(**3**), pp. 317-25.

Al-Karaghouli A.A., Alnaser W.E. (2004), *Performances of single and double basin solar-stills*. Solar Energy **78(3)**, pp. 347-54.

Alpert D J and Houser R M (1989) Evaluation of the optical performance of a prototype stretchedmembrane mirror module for solar central receivers. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 111(1), 37-43.

Al-Rabghi O and Elsayed M M (1992) The monthly-averaged cosine effect factor of a heliostat field. *Int. J. of Solar Energy* 13, 43-61.

Al-Rabghi O M and Elsayed M M (1991) Heliostat minimum radial spacing for no blocking and shadowing condition. *Renewable Energy* 1, 37-47.

Al-Shammiri M., Safar M(1999). Multi-effect distillation plants: state of the art. Desalination, 126:45-59.

ASHRAE (1989) *Handbook of Fundamentals* (SI ed.). Atlanta: American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers.

Bannerot R B and Laurence C L (1980) A design method for optimization of collector system for small solar central receivers. Trans. ASME, *JSEE*, vol. 102, pp. 240-247.

Bartel J J and Skvarna P E (1984) 10-MWe solar thermal central receiver pilot plant. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 106(1), 50-58.

Biggs F and Vittitoe C N (1979) The helios model for the optical behaviour of reflecting solar concentrators. SAND 76-0347, Sandia National Laboratories, USA.

Black and Veatch Consulting Engineers (1978) Solar-thermal conversion to electricity utilizing central receiver, open cycle, gas turbine design. ER-652, Research Project 475-1, Final Report. P.O. Box 6405, Kansas City, MI.

Boehm R F (1987) A review of convective loss data from solar central receivers. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 109, 101-107.

Boese F K, Merkel A, Stahl D and Stehle H (1981) A consideration of possible receiver designs for solar tower plants. *Solar Energy* 26, 1-7.

Broze J G, Ranade S and Prengk H W (1985) An approximate model for sizing and costing a solar thermal collector-central receiver system. *Solar Energy* 34, 341-350.

Chafik, E., 2003. A new type of seawater desalination plants using solar energy. Desalination

Clausing A M (1981) An analysis of convective losses from cavity solar central receivers. *Solar Energy* 27(4), 295-300.

Collado F J and Turegano J A (1989) Calculation of the annual thermal energy supplied by a defined heliostat field. *Solar Energy* 42, 149-165.

Collado F J, Gomez A and Turegano J A (1986) An analytic function for the flux density due to sunlight reflected from a heliostat. *Solar Energy* 37, 215-234.

Corrado Sommariva ,(2010),COURSES IN DESALINATION, Thermal Desalination

Coskunoglu O (1983) Mathematical programming procedures to optimize the collector field subsystem of a power tower system. *Solar Energy* 31, 503-510.

Curto P A and Stern G (1982) Central solar receivers - application for utilities and industries. Mechanical Engineering, *ASME* 104(7), 54-57.

Dellin T, Fish M J and Tang C L (1981) A users manual for DEISO L2: a computer code for calculating the optical performance and optimal system design for solar thermal central receiver plants. SAND 81-8237, USA.

Delyannis E. (2003), *Historic background of desalination and renewable energies*. Solar Energy **75**(5), Elsevier pp. 357-66.

Duffie J A and Beckman W A (1980) Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes. New York: John Wiley.

Elsayed M M and Al-Rabghi O M (1992) Heliostat tilt and azimuth angle charts and the heliostat orientation protractor. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 114, 70-73.

Elsayed M M and Fathalah K A (1986) Estimation of percentage useful area of a heliostat when considering shadowing and blocking. *Solar and Wind Technology* 3, 199-205.

Elsayed M M and Fathalah K A (1994) Solar flux density distribution using separation of variables/superposition technique. *Renewable Energy* 4(1), 77-87.

Elsayed M M and Fathalah K A (1996) Solar flux-density distribution due to partially shaded/blocked mirrors using the separation of variables/superposition technique with polynomial and Gaussian sunshapes, Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 118, 107-114.

Elsayed M M, Fathalah K A and Al-Rabghi O M (1993) Heliostat and flux density measurements for solar central receiver, Final Report of Project No. 135/412, Faculty of Engineering, King Abdel Aziz University, Research Center, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Elsayed M M, Fathalah K A and Al-Rabghi O M (1995) Measurements of solar flux density distribution on a plane receiver due to a flat heliostat. *Solar Energy* 54(6), 403-411.

Elsayed M M, Fathalah K A and Meghaed I (1994b) Mathematical simulation of solar thermal systems (in Arabic). Arabization Center, Faculty of Engineering, King Abdulaziz University.

Elsayed M M, Habeebullah M B and Al-Rabghi O M (1992) Yearly-averaged daily usefulness efficiency of heliostat surfaces. *Solar Energy* 49(2), 111-121.

Elsayed M M, Taha I S and Sabbagh J S (1994a) Design of solar thermal systems. Scientific Publishing Center, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Florides G., Kalogirou S. (2004), *Ground heat exchangers – a review*. Proceedings of third international conference on heat power cycles, Larnaca, Cyprus, on CD-ROM.

García-Rodríguez L. (2003), "Renewable energy applications in desalination: state of the art", Solar Energy 75, 381-393.

García-Rodríguez, L., 2002, Seawater desalination driven by renewable energies: a review. Desalination 143: 103-113

Gregorzewski, A. and Genthner, K., High efficiency seawater distillation with heat recovery by absorption heat pumps. Proceedings of the IDA World Congress on Desalination and Water Reuse, pp. 97-113, Abu Dhabi, November 18-24, 1995.

Grether D and Hunt A (1977) Description of the LBL reduced database and standard profiles, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, USA.

Gupta B P (1984) Solar thermal central receivers: at crossroads. Trans. ASME, JSEE, vol. 106, pp. 1-2.

Hennet J C and Abatut J L (1984) An analytical method for reflected flux density calculations. *Solar Energy* 32, 357-363.

Hildebrandt A F and Dasgupta S (1980) Survey of power tower technology, Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 102, 91-104.

Hirono T and Horigome T (1984) A 1-MWe central receiver type solar thermal electric power pilot plant. Trans ASME, *ISEE* 106, 90-97.

Kalogirou S. (2003), *The potential of solar industrial process heat applications*. Appl Energy, **76(4)**, pp. 337-61.Lysen E. (2003), *An outlook for the 21<sup>st</sup> century*. Renew Energy World, **6(1)**, pp. 43-53.

Kalogirou S. (2004), Solar energy collectors and applications. Prog Energy Combust Sci, 30(3), pp. 231-95

Karameldin, A. Lotfy and S. Mekhemar (2003), *The Red Sea area wind-driven mechanical vapor compression desalination system*, Desalination **153**, Elsevier pp. 47-53.

Kiang HJ and Dharan CKH (1989) Analysis of composite stretched-membrane heliostats. Trans.

#### ASME, JSEE 111(2), 103-111.

Kiera M and Schiel W (1989) Measurement and analysis of heliostat images. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 111, 2-9.

Klein S A (1977) Calculation of monthly average insulation on tilted surfaces. Solar Energy 19, 325-329.

Kreith F and Meyer R (1984) Solar power towers. Int. J. Solar Energy 2, 385-404.

Kudish A.I., Evseev E.G., Walter G., Priebe T. (2003), *Simulation study on a solar desalination system utilizing an evaporator/condenser chamber*. Energy Convers Manage **44(10)**, Elsevier, pp. 1653-70.

Lipps F W (1974) An analytical integration of the solar flux density due to rectangular mirrors. ASME Paper No. 74-WA/SOL-10, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, USA.

Lipps F W (1976) Four different views of the heliostat flux density integral. Solar Energy 18, 555-560.

Lipps F W and Vant-Hull L L (1978) A cellwise method for the optimization of large central receiver systems. *Solar Energy* 22, 505-516.

M.A. Darwish, Iain McGregor, (2005), *Five days' Intensive Course on - Thermal Desalination Processes Fundamentals and Practice*, MEDRC & Water Research Center Sultan Qaboos University, Oman

McMordie R K (1984). Convection heat loss from a cavity receiver. Trans. ASME, JSEE 106, 98-100.

Miller I and Freund JE (1986) *Probability and Statistics for Engineers*. London: Prentice-Hall International.

Millow B. and Zarza E., Advanced MED solar desalination plants. Configurations, costs, future – Seven years of experience at the Plataforma Solar de Almería (Spain), Desalination 108, pp. 51-58, 1996.

Mulholland G P (1983) Determination of heliostat and concentrator size for solar furnace facilities. J. Solar Energy, Trans. ASME, 105, 243-245.

Müller-Holst, H., 2007. Solar Thermal Desalination using the Multiple Effec Humidification (MEH) method, Book Chapter, Solar Desalination for the 21st Century, 215–225.

Parekh S., Farid M.M., Selman R.R., Al-Hallaj S. (2003), *Solar desalination with humidificationdehumidification technique – a comprehensive technical review.* Desalination **160**, Elsevier pp. 167-86.

Pettit R B, Vittitoe C N and Biggs F (1983) Simplified calculational procedure for determining the amount of intercepted sunlight in an imaging solar concentrator. Trans. ASME, *JSEE* 105, 101-107.

Pierce A K and Slaughter C D (1977) Solar limb darkening. Solar Physics 51, 25-41.

Pitman C L and Vant-Hull L L (1986) Performance of optimized solar central receiver systems as a function of receiver thermal losses per unit area. *Solar Energy* 37, 457-468.

Riaz M R (1976) A theory of concentrators of solar energy on a central receiver for electric power generation. Trans. ASME. J. Engineering for Power, pp. 375-385.

Sayig A.A.M. (2004), The reality of renewable energy. Renewable Energy, pp. 10-15.

Skinrood A (1982) Recent developments in central receiver system. Sun World 6, 98-101.

Soteris A. Kalogirou (2005), *Seawater desalination using renewable energy sources*, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science **31**, Elsevier, pp. 242-281.

Steinfeld A and Schubnell M (1993) Optimum aperture size and operating temperature of solar cavity-receiver. *Solar Energy* 50(1), 19-25.

Stine W B and Harrigan R W (1985) Solar Energy Fundamentals and Design with Computer Applications. New York: John Wiley.

Thomson M., Infield D. (2003), A photovoltaic-powered seawater reverse-osmosis system without batteries. Desalination **153**(1-3), pp. 1-8

Tiwari G.N., Singh H.N., Tripathi R. (2003), *Present status of solar distillation*. Solar Energy 75(5), Elsevier, pp. 367-73.

Torralbo A M, Gonzalvez C H, Roses C O, Local J A and Sanchez F (1984) A Spanish "Power Tower" solar system: Project CESA-1. Trans ASME, *ISEE* 106, 78-82.

Tzen E., Morris R. (2003), *Renewable energy sources for desalination*. Solar Energy **75**(**5**), Elsevier, pp. 375-9.

United Nations, Water for People, Water for Life – UN World Water Development Report, UNESCO Publishing, Paris, 2003.

Vant-Hull L L (1980) Electrical power generation: thermal conversion. In: *Solar Energy Technology Handbook* (W C Dickinson and P N Cheremisioff, eds), pp. 353-377. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.

Vant-Hull L L and Hildebrandt A F (1976) Solar thermal power system based on optical transmission. *Solar Energy* 18, 31-39.

Walzel M D, Lipps F W and Vant-Hull L L (1977) A solar flux density calculation for a solar tower concentrator using two dimensional hermite function expansion. *Solar Energy* 19, 239-253.

Wessel H (1986) Summary of test results - test series Heliostats (Oct. 1984 and June 1985). Report No. GAST-IAS-BT-300000-194.

Wiseman, R., Desalination business "stabilised on a high level" – IDA report, Desalination & Water Reuse 14(2), pp. 14-17, 2004.