

## **EXERGO - ECONOMICS OF DESALINATION SYSTEMS**

**Muhammad Ahmad Jamila and Muhammad Wakil Shahzada,**

*Mechanical and Construction Engineering Department, Northumbria University,  
Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK*

**Syed Muhammad Zubairb**

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, King Fahd University of Petroleum and  
Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia  
Researcher at K.A.CARE Energy Research and Innovation Center at Dhahran, Saudi  
Arabia*

**Keywords:** Exergo-economic analysis, Thermodynamic limit, Desalination systems

### **Contents**

1. Introduction
    - 1.1. Water Treatment Processes and Energy Demand
  2. Desalination processes
    - 2.1. Membrane-based Systems
    - 2.2. Membrane Distillation
    - 2.3. Thermal Desalination Systems
  3. Thermodynamic analysis formulation
    - 3.1. Recovery Ratio
    - 3.2. Performance Ratio And Gained Output Ratio
    - 3.3. Pump Work
    - 3.4. Specific Heat Consumption
    - 3.5. Specific Energy Consumption
    - 3.6. New Performance Analysis Definitions
  4. Exergo-economic analysis
    - 4.1. Exergy Analysis
    - 4.2. Economic Analysis
    - 4.3. Illustrative Examples of Exergo-Economic Analysis
  5. Roadmap for Sustainable Water Supplies
- Acknowledgments  
Glossary  
Bibliography  
Biographical Sketches

### **Summary**

Global water demand is projected to increase by over 55% by 2050 mainly due to the high GDP growth rate that will increase water demand for manufacturing, power generation, and domestic sector use by 400%, 140%, and 130% respectively. This current demand trend will push 40% of the World's population below the water scarcity level by 2050. Presently, around 19,000 desalination plants in 150 countries are producing roughly 38 billion cubic meters per year ( $Gm^3/a$ ). Seawater desalination considered is one of the most feasible and practical solutions to fill the supply-demand

gap. In this chapter, a detailed overview of desalination processes is presented. In Section 1, global water scarcity, drinking water standards, and treatment requirements are highlighted. Section 2 covers the basic understanding of desalination and related important terminologies. A detailed overview of all desalination processes is presented in Section 3 and their energetic and exergetic analyses are provided in Section 4. The energy recovery options, economic analysis, and renewable energy-driven desalination processes detail are provided in subsequent sections. Lastly, we also sketch the future roadmap for sustainability.

## 1. Introduction

Energy and water are interdependent and directly linked to valuable resources that support a country's gross domestic product (GDP) and population prosperity (Conway et al, 2015). Energy is required during water treatment processes, collection, and distribution. Similarly, water is also an important factor for every aspect of the life cycle such as feedstock crops, fossil fuel processing, and power generation (Rothausen and Conway, 2011; Jamil et al, 2021a). The mutual dependence between energy and water is intensified due to the growing demand for both necessities because of population increase, GDP growth, and climate change (Howells and Rogner, 2014) In 2010, globally, 20-terawatt hours (TWh) of electricity was produced in which fossil and nuclear fuel sources contributed over 80% followed by hydropower-17% and renewable only-2% as shown in Figure 1 (a) and the corresponding areas-wise generation is shown in Figure 1 (b) (van Vliet et al, 2016). It consumed around 583 Gm<sup>3</sup> of water, 15% of the total water withdrawals. The electricity production is expected to increase to 34TWh by 2030, 70% more than the 2010 generation capacity. Correspondingly, the water consumption for power generation is estimated to grow to 790 Gm<sup>3</sup>, 37% higher than in 2010 (The United Nations 2014). Water consumption for fossil fuels power generation is 75 000 - 450 000 liters per megawatt-hour (l/MWh). On the other hand, Combined-cycle gas turbines (CCGTs) plants are due to cascading processes, and they generate less heat and require less water for heat rejection in cooling towers, around 570 - 1100 l/MWh (World Energy Outlook, 2021).

Currently, the global water consumption is 6 Gm<sup>3</sup>/a, led by the Asia region followed by America and Europe as shown in Figure 2 (Ghaffour et al, 2013). By 2050, the global water demand is expected to increase by over 55% mostly intensified by population and GDP growth coupled with inefficient agricultural practices, higher energy demand, and urbanization. It is projected that the manufacturing industry will lead this demand by a 400% increase followed by power generation 140% and domestic 130%. This higher water consumption will force over 40% of the global population to live in water scarcity regions by 2050 (Elimelech and Phillip, 2011). The only practical and feasible water solution for future supplies is seawater desalination. Currently, around 20,000 desalination plants production 40 Gm<sup>3</sup>/a in 150 countries and it is estimated to grow to 54 Gm<sup>3</sup>/a by 2030, 30% more compared to 2017 as shown in Figure 3 (IWA, 2016).




Figure 1. Electricity generation and energy mix at the different parts of the World (van Vliet et al, 2016).




Figure 2. Global water consumption growth from 1900 to 2021 (Ghaffour et al, 2013; Elimelech and Phillip, 2011).

The conventional desalination processes are not only energy-intensive but also environment unfriendly. The current installed capacities are contributing around 76 million tons per year (Mt/a) of CO<sub>2</sub> and it is estimated to increase over 250 Mt/a by 2050 (Global Clean Water Desalination Alliance - “H<sub>2</sub>O minus CO<sub>2</sub>,” 2015). The global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increased 20% from 36.1 Gt/a ( Giga ton per year)in 2013 to 43.2 Gt/a in 2019, consuming 2/3 of COP21 CO<sub>2</sub> emission targets, and the remaining is estimated to exhaust by 2050 (International Energy Agency. 2013). The percentage of global water consumption and withdrawals, CO<sub>2</sub> emission, and population growth is

summarized in Figure 4 (Friedlingstein et al, 2014; Raupach et al, 2007). The water consumption and withdrawal, CO<sub>2</sub> emission, and primary energy consumption growth is 1000%. 1500% and 200% respectively (Francey et al, 2013a). The highest growth rate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is strongly coupled with power generation and water production processes (Yaqoob et al, 2021a&b ). The performance improvement of both processes is important to limit emissions and protect the environment (Francey et al, 2013b ; Le Quéré et al, 2009 ; Friedlingstein et al, 2010; Peters et al, 2011).




Figure 3. Global desalination capacities based on feed water quality (Ghaffour et al, 2013; Elimelech and Phillip, 2011).




Figure 4. Water, CO<sub>2</sub> emission, and population percentage growth rate from 1900 to 2040 (Francey et al, 2013b ; Le Quéré et al, 2009 ; Friedlingstein et al, 2010; Peters et al, 2011).

## 1.1 Water Treatment Processes and Energy Demand

Higher extraction and consumption of ground water are causing its table to drop at a faster rate. Over 1.2 billion people globally are affected by physical water scarcity and an additional 500 million population is approaching the same situation (UNESCO, 2019). In addition, as per the UN report, around 850,000 people die every year from diarrhea due to unsafe drinking water and lack of hygiene (Li et al, 2013). The recent COVID pandemic worsens this situation and where water is not readily available people may decide their priorities, drinking over hand washing, thereby adding to the likelihood of diarrhea and other diseases (Patel et al, 2021). For drinking, water treatment processes are required to achieve WHO quality standards (1000 m<sup>3</sup> per person per year) to avoid waterborne diseases (Quintuña, 2020; Kalbusch et al, 2020). The energy required for water treatment is dependent on feedwater type and major sources are, lakes, rivers, ground water, wastewater, and seawater (Jamil et al, 2021b). A summary of energy required for various feedwater treatments is presented in Figure 5 (IRENA, 2015; Walsh et al, 2015; Al-Zubari, 2017). It can be seen that seawater treatment requires the highest energy due to large salt contents that require extensive pre-treatment and actual treatment processes. In addition to a large amount of carbon emissions, seawater treatment also rejects chemical-laden brine that affects marine life. The fresh water shortage can be partially (50% of 40% gap) addressed by wastewater treatment and water conservation (Dawoud, 2012). The remaining gap (50% of 40%) can only be closed using desalination processes (McKinsey & Co., 2009) which at present are energy intensive and environment unfriendly.



Figure 5. Assorted feed water types and the typical amount of energy required for their treatment as per WHO standard (IRENA, 2015; Walsh et al, 2015; Al-Zubari, 2017).

## 2. Desalination Processes

Desalination is a process of separating freshwater from saline feedwater which can have different salinity content levels depending upon the fee source as shown in Figure 6. For this purpose, energy is supplied across a separating medium to split the freshwater from the feed stream and to reject the brine stream as shown in Figure 7 (Jamil, 2017).

The separation mechanism varies with the technology employed e.g., semi-permeable membrane in reverse osmosis (RO), hydrophobic membrane in membrane distillation, heat exchanging tubes in evaporation-based systems, and flash chambers in flashing-based systems, etc. Similarly, the input energy can be electrical energy (e.g., RO) or thermal energy (steam for evaporation and flashing) (Shahzad et al, 2017a). In the simplest operating scenario, the process starts as the feed water (brackish or seawater) is supplied to the separation section after appropriate pretreatment particularly in membrane-based systems for longer membrane life (Ng et al, 2015). The distillate stream is supplied to the users after mineral adjustments to meet the drinking water quality and the brine stream is rejected back to the reservoir. However, in the advanced systems, some additional sections have also been incorporated with the desalination systems to increase the overall system’s performance (Chen et al, 2020). For instance, the energy recovery sections are employed to utilize the waste heat which reduces the input energy (Jamil et al, 2020a). Similarly, brine management like partial recirculation, zero liquid discharge (ZLD) systems improve the recovery ratio and mitigate the environmental footprints to minimize the risks to the aquatic life in the natural water sources (Chung et al, 2017; Chen et al, 2021).




Figure 6. Water type and salinity level.




Figure 7. The basic principle of water desalination (Clayton, 2015).

Commercially, there are two major desalination processes, pressure-driven, and thermal energy-driven. Pressure-driven processes are seawater reverse osmosis (SWRO) and



thermal energy-driven are multi-effect desalination (MED), multistage flash (MSF), and adsorption cycle (AD) (Nassrullah et al, 2020). There are other innovative processes such as forward osmosis (FO), capacitance deionization (CDI), membrane distillation (MD), freezing, gas hydrates (GH), and humidification dehumidification (HDH) (Suwaileh et al, 2020). Most of these processes are at the small laboratory stage and need a large-scale pilot demonstration for commercial applications. Installed capacities of desalination processes and the share of different technologies are presented in Figure 8 (Eltawil et al, 2008 ; Mabrouk et al, 2015). In Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, thermally driven processes are more favorable since feed water quality varies throughout the year that SWRO processes are unable to handle. It can also be noticed that over 60% of desalination processes are applied on seawater followed by 23% on brackish water, 7% on river water, 5% on wastewater, and 6% on other sources (Shahzad et al, 2017b). Recently, many hybrid processes have been proposed to overcome the design and operational limitations of individual conventional technologies such as RO-MSF, MSF-MED, and MEDAD (Ahmed et al, 2020). Some of these hybrid processes show great improvement in terms of energy efficiency due to the excellent working synergy of processes. The capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operational expenditure (OPEX) of desalination technologies depend on several parameters. Some technologies CAPEX are highly expensive due to the costs of land, engineering, unit purchase, transportation, and installation, etc., and others are leading in terms of high OPEX such as energy, maintenance, spares, and labor but the overall water production cost is defined in  $\$/m^3$  (Mezher et al, 2011; El-Nashar, 2001). A brief description of different desalination processes is presented in the following.




Figure 8. Total desalination installed capacities and share of different technologies in the World and in GCC countries (Eltawil et al, 2008; Mabrouk et al, 2015).

## 2.1. Membrane-based Systems

In these systems, energy is supplied across a membrane surface to extract fresh water from the saline water stream. The amount and type of energy depend upon the membrane type used as many membrane-based systems like membrane distillation, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, and forward osmosis, reverse osmosis systems are used (Linares et al, 2016; Qureshi and Zubair, 2016a).

### 2.1.1. Reverse Osmosis

Among membrane-based systems, the most used one is the reverse osmosis (RO) system which uses a semipermeable membrane to separate undesirable constituents from the feedwater. A pressure differential is maintained across the membrane surface to overcome the osmotic pressure using a high-pressure pump (HPP) (Qureshi and Zubair, 2016b). The RO operation involves pumping of intake seawater to a pretreatment section using a feed pump (FP) where chemical pretreatment is conducted to improve the membrane life. This pretreated feed is then supplied to a membrane module at very high pressure (for seawater 6-8 bar) to produce product fresh water (Qureshi and Zubair, 2015). The product stream is then sent to the post-treatment section for mineral dosing (to meet drinking-quality standards) and the brine is rejected back to the sea. However, in the advanced systems, the high-pressure brine stream is sent to an energy recovery section where the pressure energy is partly recovered using a Pelton turbine or pressure exchanger to raise the pressure of the feed stream as shown in Figure 9 (Jamil and Qureshi, 2016c). This energy recovery has been reported to reduce the input energy up to 24-25% thus improving the overall system efficiency and reducing the operational cost (Jamil and Qureshi, 2016c). Some of the recent improvements in RO technology are its integration with other systems like solar-driven phase change material assisted RO (Abbasi et al, 2019), solid oxide fuel cell integrated RO (Chitgar et al, 2019), etc.




Figure 9. Schematic of RO system (Jamil and Qureshi, 2016c).



The main limitation of these systems is the membrane life which is particularly of concern while treating harsh feeds (high temperature, salinity and biological contaminants). In such situations, the system performance is limited because of the issues like extensive pretreatment, high membrane replacement frequency, low operational availability, and high maintenance downtime and cost.

## 2.2. Membrane Distillation

In membrane distillation, a micro-porous hydrophobic membrane is employed to separate pure water from a salt solution or feed water as shown in Figure 10 (Lee et al, 2018). The hydrophobicity of the membrane creates a gas-liquid interface and prevents the mass transfer of the feed water. It works based on temperature as well as pressure gradient across membrane that creates vapor pressure difference to evaporate volatile components through the pores (10 nm – 1  $\mu$ m) and it is intensified via diffusion and/or convection of the compartment with high vapor pressure (Mustakeem et al, 2021). The vapors are then transported to the low-pressure compartment for condensation to produce distillate (Amy et al, 2017).

In comparison with RO, MD is less susceptible to flux limitations caused by concentration polarization, whereby a higher concentration of matter is obtained on the supply side (Fortunato et al, 2018). Theoretically, MD offers 100% retention for non-volatile dissolved substances, whereby there is no limit on the supply concentration (Elcik et al, 2020). In comparison with traditional distillation, MD possesses typical basic advantages of membrane separation, namely simple up-scaling, simple operations, the possibility for high membrane surface/volume ratios, possibility to treat flows with heat-sensitive components and/or a high suspended particle-content at atmospheric pressure and a temperature below the boiling point of the supply (El-Bourawi et al, 2006).




Figure 10. Direct contact membrane distillation process (Lee et al, 2018).

## 2.3. Thermal Desalination Systems

In thermal-based systems, steam is used to extract the fresh water in vapor form from the saline water stream which is later condensed as a distillate. These vapors are produced due to either flashing at temperature and pressure differential in the flash chambers or evaporated on the tubes in the evaporators. The formation of vapor containing freshwater is achieved due to boiling point elevation (BPE normally  $< 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of the brine due to the presence of salts. This high-temperature brine is rejected back to the sea (El-Dessouky and Ettouney, 2002). The working principles of some of the common thermal desalination systems are outlined in the following.

### 2.3.1. Multi-Stage Flash

The multi-stage flash (MSF) is one of the oldest active desalination technologies developed in 1957 by Westinghouse (El-Dessouky et al, 1986). Silver (1957) outlined the standard features and patented the technology for the first time with some improvements in plant area and economics. Though multi-effect desalination (MED) was proposed in the meantime, yet it could not get significant popularity because of high salt scaling issues on the evaporator tubes as the antiscalants were not well developed back then. So, the flashing chambers turned out as the viable solution to produce vapors from the saline feed without using tubes. In these systems, the vapors are produced because of the difference in pressure and temperature as the preheated feed is led into the cascade of flash chambers. The vapors produced are condensed by exchanging heat with the feed water in the coils thus preheating it before entering the steam-operated feed heater as shown in Figure 11. Based on the brine flow the two major MSF types are once through MSF and brine recirculation MSF. The MSF with brine recirculation has shown better performance and is considered an industry standard. Some other improvements in the systems include high productivity operation, incorporation of energy recovery sections, and integration with other thermal systems (Mabrouk et al, 2007a).




Figure 11. Schematic of MSF with brine circulation (Junjie et al, 2007).

The gain output ratio (GOR), second law efficiency, and the product cost for MSF plants range from 2 to 7, 1.8 to 2.3%, and 1.8 to 2.7 %, respectively (Mabrouk et al,

2007b) and show close competition with other thermal systems. However, some of the common drawbacks of MSF plants include high brine salinity, brine recycle flow rate, and comparatively larger condenser heat transfer area (Van der Bruggen and Vandecasteele, 2002).

-  
-  
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 44 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,  
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

## Bibliography

D. Conway, E.A. van Garderen, D. Deryng, S. Dorling, T. Krueger, W. Landman, B. Lankford, K. Lebek, T. Osborn, C. Ringler, J. Thurlow, T. Zhu, C. Dalin, (2015) Climate and southern Africa's water-energy-food nexus, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 5. 5, 837–846. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2735>.

S.G.S.A. Rothausen, D. Conway, (2011) Greenhouse-gas emissions from energy use in the water sector, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 14. 1, 210–219. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1147>.

M.A. Jamil, B. Bin Xu, L. Dala, M. Sultan, L. Jie, M.W. Shahzad, (2021a) Experimental and normalized sensitivity based numerical analyses of a novel humidifier-assisted highly efficient indirect evaporative cooler, *Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf.* 125, 105327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2021.105327>.

M. Howells, H.-H. Rogner, (2014) Assessing integrated systems, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2014 44. 4 246–247. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2180>.

M.T.H. van Vliet, D. Wiberg, S. Leduc, K. Riahi, (2016) Power-generation system vulnerability and adaptation to changes in climate and water resources, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2016 64. 6 , 375–380. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2903>.

The United Nations (2014), *Water and Energy - World Water Development Report*, France.

World Energy Outlook, (2021) *Water for Energy. Is energy becoming a thirstier resource?*. <http://docplayer.net/19518706-Water-for-energy-is-energy-becoming-a-thirstier-resource.html> (accessed September 1, 2021).

N. Ghaffour, T.M. Missimer, G.L. Amy, (2013) Technical review and evaluation of the economics of water desalination: Current and future challenges for better water supply sustainability, *Desalination*. 309 197–207.

M. Elimelech, W.A. Phillip, (2011) The future of seawater desalination: Energy, technology, and the environment, *Science* (80). 333 712–717. <https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1200488>.

IWA, (2016) Desalination – Past, Present and Future - International Water Association,. <https://iwa-network.org/desalination-past-present-future/> (accessed September 1, 2021).

Global Clean Water Desalination Alliance - “H<sub>2</sub>O minus CO<sub>2</sub>,” (2015). [http://www.diplomatie.gouv.fr/fr/IMG/pdf/global\\_water\\_desalination\\_alliance\\_1dec2015\\_cle8d61cb.pdf](http://www.diplomatie.gouv.fr/fr/IMG/pdf/global_water_desalination_alliance_1dec2015_cle8d61cb.pdf).

International Energy Agency. (2013) *Redrawing the Energy-Climate Map*. World Energy Outlook Special Report,. [www.worldenergyoutlook.org/energyclimatemap](http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/energyclimatemap) (accessed September 1, 2021).

- P. Friedlingstein, R.M. Andrew, J. Rogelj, G.P. Peters, J.G. Canadell, R. Knutti, G. Luderer, M.R. Raupach, M. Schaeffer, D.P. van Vuuren, C. Le Quéré, (2014) Persistent growth of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and implications for reaching climate targets, *Nat. Geosci.* 2014 7(10), 709–715. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2248>.
- M.R. Raupach, G. Marland, P. Ciais, C. Le Quéré, J.G. Canadell, G. Klepper, C.B. Field, (2007) Global and regional drivers of accelerating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 104, 10288–10293. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0700609104>.
- R.J. Francey, C.M. Trudinger, M. van der Schoot, R.M. Law, P.B. Krummel, R.L. Langenfelds, L. Paul Steele, C.E. Allison, A.R. Stavert, R.J. Andres, C. Rödenbeck, (2013a) Atmospheric verification of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emission trends, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2013 3(3), 520–524. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1817>.
- H. Yaqoob, Y.H. Teoh, M.A. Jamil, M. Gulzar, (2021a) Potential of tire pyrolysis oil as an alternate fuel for diesel engines: A review, *J. Energy Inst.* 96, 205–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2021.03.002>.
- H. Yaqoob, Y. Heng, Z.U. Din, N.U. Sabah, M.A. Jamil, M.A. Mujtaba, A. Abid, (2021b) The potential of sustainable biogas production from biomass waste for power generation in Pakistan, *J. Clean. Prod.* 307, 127250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127250>.
- R.J. Francey, C.M. Trudinger, M. van der Schoot, R.M. Law, P.B. Krummel, R.L. Langenfelds, L.P. Steele, C.E. Allison, A.R. Stavert, R.J. Andres, C. Rödenbeck, (2013b) Reply to “Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions,” *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2013 3(3), 604–604. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1925>.
- C. Le Quéré, M.R. Raupach, J.G. Canadell, G. Marland, L. Bopp, P. Ciais, T.J. Conway, S.C. Doney, R.A. Feely, P. Foster, P. Friedlingstein, K. Gurney, R.A. Houghton, J.I. House, C. Huntingford, P.E. Levy, M.R. Lomas, J. Majkut, N. Metzler, J.P. Ometto, G.P. Peters, I.C. Prentice, J.T. Randerson, S.W. Running, J.L. Sarmiento, U. Schuster, S. Sitch, T. Takahashi, N. Viovy, G.R. van der Werf, F.I. Woodward, (2009) Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide, *Nat. Geosci.* 2009 2(12), 831–836. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo689>.
- P. Friedlingstein, R.A. Houghton, G. Marland, J. Hackler, T.A. Boden, T.J. Conway, J.G. Canadell, M.R. Raupach, P. Ciais, C. Le Quéré, (2010) Update on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, *Nat. Geosci.* 2010 3(12), 811–812. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1022>.
- G.P. Peters, G. Marland, C. Le Quéré, T. Boden, J.G. Canadell, M.R. Raupach, (2011) Rapid growth in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2011 2(1), 2–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1332>.
- UNESCO, (2019) *United Nations World Water Development Report, 2019: Leaving no one Behind*, UNESCO Publishing, 2019. <https://en.unesco.org/themes/water-security/wwap/wwdr/2019>.
- C. Li, Y. Goswami, E. Stefanakos, (2013) Solar assisted sea water desalination: A review, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 19, 136–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.04.059>.
- S.K. Patel, D. Singh, G.L. Devnani, S. Sinha, D. Singh, (2021) Potable water production via desalination technique using solar still integrated with partial cooling coil condenser, *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assessments.* 43, 100927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2020.100927>.
- D.M. Juela Quintuña, (2020) Estimated Impact of COVID-19 on Water Needs and Volume and Quality of Wastewater, *SSRN Electron. J.* <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3651551>.
- A. Kalbusch, E. Henning, M.P. Brikalski, F.V. de Luca, A.C. Konrath, (2020) Impact of coronavirus (COVID-19) spread-prevention actions on urban water consumption, *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 163, 105098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105098>.
- M.A. Jamil, H. Yaqoob, M.U. Farooq, Y.H. Teoh, B. Bin Xu, K. Mahkamov, M. Sultan, K.C. Ng, M.W. Shahzad, (2021b) Experimental Investigations of a Solar Water Treatment System for Remote Desert Areas of Pakistan, *Water.* 13, 1070. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13081070>.
- IRENA, (2015) *Renewable Energy in the Water, Energy and Food Nexus*, 2015. /publications/2015/Jan/Renewable-Energy-in-the-Water-Energy--Food-Nexus (accessed September 2, 2021).

- B.P. Walsh, S.N. Murray, D.T.J. O'Sullivan, (2015) The water energy nexus, an ISO50001 water case study and the need for a water value system, *Water Resour. Ind.* 10, 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WRI.2015.02.001>.
- W.K. Al-Zubari, (2017) Status of Water in the Arab Region, in: *Water, Energy, Food Secur. Nexus Arab Reg.*, pp. 1–24. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48408-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48408-2_1).
- M.A. Dawoud, (2012) Environmental Impacts of Seawater Desalination: Arabian Gulf Case Study, *Int. J. Environ. Sustain.* 1. <https://doi.org/10.24102/IJES.V1I3.96>.
- McKinsey & Company, (2009) Charting Our Water Future, Economic Frameworks to Inform Decision-Making: A report by 2030 water resources group. [https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/dotcom/client\\_service/sustainability/pdfs/charting\\_our\\_water\\_future/charting\\_our\\_water\\_future\\_full\\_report\\_.ashx](https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/dotcom/client_service/sustainability/pdfs/charting_our_water_future/charting_our_water_future_full_report_.ashx).
- M.A. Jamil, (2017) Exergo-economic analysis of single as well as multieffect mechanical vapor compression desalination system, MS Thesis, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, KSA,.
- M.W. Shahzad, M. Burhan, L. Ang, K. Choon Ng, (2017a) Energy-water-environment nexus underpinning future desalination sustainability, *Desalination.* 413, 52–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.03.009>.
- K.C. Ng, K. Thu, S.J. Oh, L. Ang, M.W. Shahzad, A. Bin Ismail, (2015) Recent developments in thermally-driven seawater desalination: Energy efficiency improvement by hybridization of the MED and AD cycles, *Desalination.* 356, 255–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.10.025>.
- Q. Chen, M. Burhan, M.W. Shahzad, R. Alrowais, D. Ybyraiymkul, F.H. Akhtar, Y. Li, K.C. Ng, (2020) A Novel Low-Temperature Thermal Desalination Technology Using Direct-Contact Spray Method, in: *Desalin. - Challenges Oppor.*, IntechOpen, <https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.92416>.
- M.A. Jamil, Z.U. Din, T.S. Goraya, H. Yaqoob, S.M. Zubair, (2020a) Thermal-hydraulic characteristics of gasketed plate heat exchangers as a preheater for thermal desalination systems, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 205, 112425. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112425>.
- H.W. Chung, K.G. Nayar, J. Swaminathan, K.M. Chehayeb, J.H. Lienhard V, (2017) Thermodynamic analysis of brine management methods: Zero-discharge desalination and salinity-gradient power production, *Desalination.* 404, 291–303.
- Q. Chen, M. Burhan, M.W. Shahzad, D. Ybyraiymkul, F.H. Akhtar, Y. Li, K.C. Ng, (2021) A zero liquid discharge system integrating multi-effect distillation and evaporative crystallization for desalination brine treatment, *Desalination.* 502, 114928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114928>.
- R. Clayton, (2015) A Review of Current Knowledge, Desalination for Water Supply, Foundation for Water Research, Allen House, Liston Road, Marlow, Bucks SL71FD, U.K.
- H. Nassrullah, S.F. Anis, R. Hashaikeh, N. Hilal, (2020) Energy for desalination: A state-of-the-art review, *Desalination.* 491, 114569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114569>.
- W. Suwaileh, N. Pathak, H. Shon, N. Hilal, (2020) Forward osmosis membranes and processes: A comprehensive review of research trends and future outlook, *Desalination.* 485, 114455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114455>.
- Z. Eltawil, Mohamed A., Zhao Zhengming, L. Yuan, (2008) Renewable Energy Powered Desalination Systems: Technologies and Economics-State of the Art, in: *Twelfth Int. Water Technol. Conf. IWTC12 2008 Alexandria, Egypt*: pp. 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12045-015-0272-6>.
- A.N. Mabrouk, H.E.S. Fath, (2015) Technoeconomic study of a novel integrated thermal MSF–MED desalination technology, *Desalination.* 371, 115–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2015.05.025>.
- M.W. Shahzad, M. Burhan, K.C. Ng, (2017b) Pushing desalination recovery to the maximum limit: Membrane and thermal processes integration, *Desalination.* 416, 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.04.024>.
- F.E. Ahmed, R. Hashaikeh, N. Hilal, (2020) Hybrid technologies: The future of energy efficient desalination – A review, *Desalination.* 495, 114659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114659>.

- T. Mezher, H. Fath, Z. Abbas, A. Khaled, (2011) Techno-economic assessment and environmental impacts of desalination technologies, *Desalination*. 266, 263–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2010.08.035>.
- A.M. El-Nashar, (2001) The economic feasibility of small solar MED seawater desalination plants for remote arid areas, *Desalination*. 134, 173–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164\(01\)00124-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(01)00124-2).
- R. Valladares Linares, Z. Li, V. Yangali-Quintanilla, N. Ghaffour, G. Amy, T. Leiknes, J.S. Vrouwenvelder, (2016) Life cycle cost of a hybrid forward osmosis - low pressure reverse osmosis system for seawater desalination and wastewater recovery, *Water Res.* 88, 225–234.
- B.A. Qureshi, S.M. Zubair, (2016a) Exergetic efficiency of NF, RO and EDR desalination plants, *Desalination*. 378, 92–99.
- B.A. Qureshi, S.M. Zubair, (2016b) Energy-exergy analysis of seawater reverse osmosis plants, *Desalination*. 385, 138–147.
- B.A. Qureshi, S.M. Zubair, (2015) Exergetic analysis of a brackish water reverse osmosis desalination unit with various energy recovery systems, *Energy*. 93, 256–265.
- M.A. Jamil, B.A. Qureshi, S.M. Zubair, Exergo-economic analysis of a seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant with various retrofit options, *Desalination*. 401 (2016c) 88–98.
- H.R. Abbasi, H. Pourrahmani, A. Yavarinasab, M.A. Emadi, M. Hoorfar, (2019) Exergoeconomic optimization of a solar driven system with reverse osmosis desalination unit and phase change material thermal energy storages, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 199, 112042. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112042>.
- N. Chitgar, M.A. Emadi, A. Chitsaz, M.A. Rosen, (2019) Investigation of a novel multigeneration system driven by a SOFC for electricity and fresh water production, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 196, 296–310. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.06.006>.
- J.G. Lee, Y. Jang, L. Fortunato, S. Jeong, S. Lee, T.O. Leiknes, N. Ghaffour, (2018) An advanced online monitoring approach to study the scaling behavior in direct contact membrane distillation, *J. Memb. Sci.* 546, 50–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2017.10.009>.
- M. Mustakeem, A. Qamar, A. Alpatova, N. Ghaffour, (2021) Dead-end membrane distillation with localized interfacial heating for sustainable and energy-efficient desalination, *Water Res.* 189, 116584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2020.116584>.
- G. Amy, N. Ghaffour, Z. Li, L. Francis, R.V. Linares, T. Missimer, S. Lattemann, (2017) Membrane-based seawater desalination: Present and future prospects, *Desalination*. 401, 16–21.
- L. Fortunato, Y. Jang, J.G. Lee, S. Jeong, S. Lee, T.O. Leiknes, N. Ghaffour, (2018) Fouling development in direct contact membrane distillation: Non-invasive monitoring and destructive analysis, *Water Res.* 132, 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2017.12.059>.
- H. Elcik, L. Fortunato, A. Alpatova, S. Soukane, J. Orfi, E. Ali, H. AlAnsary, T.O. Leiknes, N. Ghaffour, (2020) Multi-effect distillation brine treatment by membrane distillation: Effect of antiscalant and antifoaming agents on membrane performance and scaling control, *Desalination*. 493, 114653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114653>.
- M.S. El-Bourawi, Z. Ding, R. Ma, M. Khayet, (2006) A framework for better understanding membrane distillation separation process, *J. Memb. Sci.* 285, 4–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2006.08.002>.
- H.T. El-Dessouky, H.M. Ettouney, (2002) *Fundamentals of Salt Water Desalination*. Amsterdam: Elsevier B.V.
- H.T. El-Dessoukey, H.I. Shaban, H. Al-Ramadan, (1986) Steady-state analysis of multi-stage flash desalination process, *Desalination*. 103, 271–287.
- R.S. Silver (1957), British Patent Application No. 829820, September, 1957.
- A.A. Mabrouk, A.S. Nafey, H.E.S. Fath, (2007a) Thermo-economic analysis of some existing desalination processes, *Desalination*. 205, 354–373.



- A.A. Mabrouk, A.S. Nafey, H.E.S. Fath, (2007b) Analysis of a new design of a multi-stage flash – mechanical vapor compression desalination process, *Desalination*. 204, 482–500.
- B. Van der Bruggen, C. Vandecasteele, (2002) Distillation vs. membrane filtration: overview of process evolutions in seawater desalination, *Desalination*. 143, 207–218.
- Y. Junjie, S. Shufeng, W. Jinhua, L. Jiping, (2007) Improvement of a multi-stage flash seawater desalination system for cogeneration power plants, *Desalination*. 217, 191–202.
- H. Rostamzadeh, H. Ghiasirad, M. Amidpour, Y. Amidpour, (2020) Performance enhancement of a conventional multi-effect desalination (MED) system by heat pump cycles, *Desalination*. 477, 114261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.114261>.
- A. Christ, B. Rahimi, K. Regenauer-Lieb, H.T. Chua, (2017) Techno-economic analysis of geothermal desalination using Hot Sedimentary Aquifers: A pre-feasibility study for Western Australia, *Desalination*. 404, 167–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.11.009>.
- M.A. Jamil, H. Yaqoob, A. Abid, M.U. Farooq, B. Bin Xu, L. Dala, K.C. Ng, M.W. Shahzad, (2021c) An exergo-economic and normalized sensitivity based comprehensive investigation of a hybrid power-and-water desalination system, *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assessments*. 47, 101463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2021.101463>.
- A. Abid, M.A. Jamil, N. us Sabah, M.U. Farooq, H. Yaqoob, L.A. Khan, M.W. Shahzad, (2020) Exergoeconomic optimization of a forward feed multi-effect desalination system with and without energy recovery, *Desalination*. 499, 114808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114808>.
- M.L. Elsayed, O. Mesalhy, R.H. Mohammed, L.C. Chow, (2018) Transient performance of MED processes with different feed configurations, *Desalination*. 438, 37–53. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.03.016>.
- M.W. Shahzad, K. Thu, Y. Kim, K.C. Ng, (2015) An experimental investigation on MEDAD hybrid desalination cycle, *Appl. Energy*. 148, 273–281.
- M.A. Farahat, H.E.S. Fath, I.I. El-Sharkawy, S. Ookawara, M. Ahmed, Energy/exergy analysis of solar driven mechanical vapor compression desalination system with nano-filtration pretreatment, *Desalination*. 509 (2021) 115078. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2021.115078>.
- B. Shahzamanian, S. Varga, J. Soares, A.I. Palmero-Marrero, A.C. Oliveira, (2021) Performance evaluation of a variable geometry ejector applied in a multi-effect thermal vapor compression desalination system, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 195, 117177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPLTHERMALENG.2021.117177>.
- W.F. He, W.P. Zhu, J.R. Xia, D. Han, (2018) A mechanical vapor compression desalination system coupled with a transcritical carbon dioxide Rankine cycle, *Desalination*. 425, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2017.10.009>.
- M.A. Jamil, S.M. Zubair, (2017a) Design and analysis of a forward feed multi-effect mechanical vapor compression desalination system: An exergo-economic approach, *Energy*. 140, 1107–1120. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.08.053>.
- H.M. Ettouney, H.T. El-Dessouky, R.S. Faibish, P.J. Gowin, (1986) Evaluating the economics of desalination, *Chem. Eng. Process*. 98, 32–39.
- H. Ettouney, (2006) Design of single-effect mechanical vapor compression, *Desalination*. 190, 1–15. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.08.003>.
- B. Eisavi, H. Nami, M. Yari, F. Ranjbar, (2021) Solar-driven mechanical vapor compression desalination equipped with organic Rankine cycle to supply domestic distilled water and power – Thermodynamic and exergo-economic implications, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 193, 116997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPLTHERMALENG.2021.116997>.
- M.W. Shahzad, (2013) The Hybrid Multi-Effect Desalination (MED) and the Adsorption (AD) Cycle for Desalination, ScholarBank @NUS Repository.
- H.S. Son, M.W. Shahzad, N. Ghaffour, K.C. Ng, (2020) Pilot studies on synergetic impacts of energy utilization in hybrid desalination system: Multi-effect distillation and adsorption cycle (MED-AD), *Desalination*. 477, 114266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.114266>.

- M.W. Shahzad, K. Choon Ng, K. Thu, B.B. Saha, (2014) Multi effect desalination and adsorption desalination (MEDAD): A hybrid desalination method, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 72, 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2014.03.064>.
- C. Qian, R. Alrowais, M. Burhan, D. Ybyraiymkul, (2020) A self-sustainable solar desalination system using direct spray technology, *Energy*. 205, 118037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118037>.
- R. Alrowais, C. Qian, M. Burhan, D. Ybyraiymkul, M. Wakil, K. Choon, (2020) A greener seawater desalination method by direct-contact spray evaporation and condensation (DCSEC): Experiments, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 179, 115629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.115629>.
- M.A. Jamil, S.M. Elmutasim, S.M. Zubair, (2018) Exergo-economic analysis of a hybrid humidification dehumidification reverse osmosis (HDH-RO) system operating under different retrofits, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 158, 286–297. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.11.025>.
- D.U. Lawal, S.M. Zubair, M.A. Antar, (2018a) Exergo-economic analysis of humidification-dehumidification (HDH) desalination systems driven by heat pump (HP), *Desalination*. 443, 11–25.
- D.U. Lawal, M.A. Antar, A. Khalifa, S.M. Zubair, F.A. Al-Sulaiman, (2020a) Experimental investigation of heat pump driven humidification- dehumidification desalination system for water desalination and space conditioning, *Desalination*. 475, 114199.
- D. Lawal, M. Antar, A. Khalifa, S. Zubair, F. Al-Sulaiman, (2018) Humidification-dehumidification desalination system operated by a heat pump, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 161, 128–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.01.067>.
- D.U. Lawal, N.A.A. Qasem, (2020b) Humidification-dehumidification desalination systems driven by thermal-based renewable and low-grade energy sources: A critical review, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 125, 109817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2020.109817>.
- M.M. Generous, N.A.A. Qasem, S.M. Zubair, (2021a) Entropy generation analysis of electro dialysis desalination using multi-component groundwater, *Desalination*. 500, 114858. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114858>.
- M.M. Generous, N.A.A. Qasem, S.M. Zubair, (2020b) Exergy-based entropy-generation analysis of electro dialysis desalination systems, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 220, 113119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2020.113119>.
- M.M. Generous, N.A.A. Qasem, S.M. Zubair, (2021a) An innovative hybridization of electro dialysis with reverse osmosis for brackish water desalination, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 245, 114589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2021.114589>.
- M.M. Generous, N.A.A. Qasem, U.A. Akbar, S.M. Zubair, (2021c) Techno-economic assessment of electro dialysis and reverse osmosis desalination plants, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 272, 118875. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2021.118875>.
- N.A.A. Qasem, S.M. Zubair, B.A. Qureshi, M.M. Generous, (2020) The impact of thermodynamic potentials on the design of electro dialysis desalination plants, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 205, 112448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2019.112448>.
- M.M. Generous, N.A.A. Qasem, S.M. Zubair, (2020d) The significance of modeling electro dialysis desalination using multi-component saline water, *Desalination*. 496, 114347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2020.114347>.
- K.H. Mistry, M.A. Antar, J.H. Lienhard V, (2013) An improved model for multiple effect distillation, *Desalin. Water Treat.* 51, 1–15.
- Faisal Al-Juwayhel, H.K. Abulraheim, M.A. Darwish, (2007) Multi-effect boiling systems (MEB): An energy viewpoint, *Sustain. Dev. Energy, Water Environ. Syst.* 341–347. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812771285\\_0031](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812771285_0031).
- G.P. Narayan, R.K. MCGovern, S.M. Zubair, J.H. Lienhard V, (2012) High-temperature-steam-driven, varied-pressure, humidification-dehumidification system coupled with reverse osmosis for energy-efficient seawater desalination, *Energy*. 37, 482–493.

- B.A. Qureshi, S.M. Zubair, (2015) Exergetic analysis of a brackish water reverse osmosis desalination unit with various energy recovery systems, *Energy*. 93, 256–265.
- M.W. Shahzad, M. Burhan, D. Ybyraiymkul, K.C. Ng, (2019) Desalination processes' efficiency and future roadmap, *Entropy*. 21, 84.
- M.W. Shahzad, M. Burhan, K.C. Ng, (2019) A standard primary energy approach for comparing desalination processes, *Npj Clean Water*. 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-018-0028-4>.
- M. Wakil Shahzad, M. Burhan, H. Soo Son, S. Jin Oh, K. Choon Ng, (2018) Desalination processes evaluation at common platform: A universal performance ratio (UPR) method, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 134, 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.01.098>.
- K.C. Ng, M. Burhan, Q. Chen, D. Ybyraiymkul, F.H. Akhtar, M. Kumja, R.W. Field, M.W. Shahzad, (2021) A thermodynamic platform for evaluating the energy efficiency of combined power generation and desalination plants, *Npj Clean Water*. 4, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-021-00114-5>.
- F. Hafdhi, T. Khir, A. Ben Yahia, A. Ben Brahim, (2018) Exergoeconomic optimization of a double effect desalination unit used in an industrial steam power plant, *Desalination*. 438, 63–82.
- S. Keshavarzian, M. V. Rocco, F. Gardumi, E. Colombo, (2017) Practical approaches for applying thermoeconomic analysis to energy conversion systems: Benchmarking and comparative application, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 150, 532–544. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.08.045>.
- H. Esen, M. Inalli, M. Esen, (2007) A techno-economic comparison of ground-coupled and air-coupled heat pump system for space cooling, *Build. Environ.* 42, 1955–1965.
- K. V. Reddy, N. Ghaffour, (2007) Overview of the cost of desalinated water and costing methodologies, *Desalination*. 205, 340–353.
- M.H. Sharqawy, J.H. Lienhard V, S.M. Zubair, (2010) Thermophysical properties of seawater: a review of existing correlations and data, *Desalin. Water Treat.* 16, 354–380.
- K.G. Nayar, M.H. Sharqawy, L.D. Banchik, J.H. Lienhard V, (2016) Thermophysical properties of seawater: A review and new correlations that include pressure dependence, *Desalination*. 390, 1–24. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.02.024>.
- B.A. Abdelkader, M.A. Jamil, S.M. Zubair, (2019) Thermal-Hydraulic Characteristics of Helical Baffle Shell-and-Tube Heat Exchangers, *Heat Transf. Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/01457632.2019.1611135>.
- K.H. Mistry, R.K. McGovern, G.P. Thiel, E.K. Summers, S.M. Zubair, J.H. Lienhard V, (2011) Entropy generation analysis of desalination technologies, *Entropy*. 13, 1829–1864. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/e13101829>.
- Y.M. El-Sayed, (1999) Thermo-economics of some options of large mechanical vapor-compression units, *Desalination*. 125, 251–257.
- D.F. Cheddie, (2010) Thermo-economic optimization of an indirectly coupled solid oxide fuel cell / gas turbine hybrid power plant, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. 36, 1702–1709. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.10.089>.
- H. Sayyaadi, (2009) Multi-objective approach in thermo-environmental optimization of a benchmark cogeneration system, *Appl. Energy*. 86, 867–879. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.08.017>.
- L. Galanti, A.F. Massardo, (2011) Micro gas turbine thermodynamic and economic analysis up to 500 kWe size, *Appl. Energy*. 88, 4795–4802. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.06.022>.
- Y.M. El-Sayed, 2003 *The Thermo-economics of Energy Conversions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- A. Chitsaz, A.S. Mehr, S.M.S. Mahmoudi, (2015) Exergoeconomic analysis of a trigeneration system driven by a solid oxide fuel cell, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 106, 921–931. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.10.009>.
- H. Rostamzadeh, A.S. Namin, H. Ghaebi, M. Amidpour, (2018) Performance assessment and optimization of a humidification dehumidification (HDH) system driven by absorption-compression heat pump cycle, *Desalination*. 447, 84–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.08.015>.

J. Beyrami, A. Chitsaz, K. Parham, O. Arild, (2019) Optimum performance of a single effect desalination unit integrated with a SOFC system by multi-objective thermo-economic optimization based on genetic algorithm, *Energy*. 186, 115811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.07.141>.

W.M. Vatavuk, (2002) Updating the Cost Index, *Chem. Eng.* 62–70.

S. Jenkins, (2019) Chemical engineering plant cost index annual average., <https://www.chemengonline.com/2019-chemical-engineering-plant-cost-index-annual-average/> (accessed April 9, 2020).

M.A. Jamil, S.M. Zubair, (2017b) On thermo-economic analysis of a single-effect mechanical vapor compression desalination system, *Desalination*. 420, 292–307.

M.A. Jamil, M.W. Shahzad, S.M. Zubair, (2020b) A comprehensive framework for thermo-economic analysis of desalination systems, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 222, 113188. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113188>.

A.S. Nafey, H.E.S. Fath, A.A. Mabrouk, (2006) Exergy and thermoeconomic evaluation of MSF process using a new visual package, *Desalination*. 201, 224–240.

H. Esen, M. Inalli, M. Esen, (2006) Techno-economic appraisal of a ground source heat pump system for a heating season in eastern Turkey, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 47, 1281–1297.

M.A. Jamil, T.S. Goraya, M.W. Shahzad, S.M. Zubair, (2020c) Exergoeconomic optimization of a shell-and-tube heat exchanger, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 226, 113462. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113462>.

Y.R. Li, M.T. Du, C.M. Wu, S.Y. Wu, C. Liu, J.L. Xu, (2014) Economical evaluation and optimization of sub-critical organic Rankine cycle based on temperature matching analysis, *Energy*. 68, 238–247. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.02.038>.

S. Fettaka, J. Thibault, Y. Gupta, (2013) Design of shell-and-tube heat exchangers using multiobjective optimization, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 60, 343–354.

R.S. El-Emam, I. Dincer, (2014) Thermodynamic and thermo-economic analyses of seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant with energy recovery, *Energy*. 64, 154–163.

A. Lazzaretto, G. Tsatsaronis, (2006) SPECO: A systematic and general methodology for calculating efficiencies and costs in thermal systems, *Energy*. 31, 1257–1289.

M. A. Rosen, (2008) A concise review of exergy-based economic methods, in: *Int. Conf. Energy Environ.*: p. 9.

M.A. Jamil, S.M. Zubair, (2017c) Design and analysis of a forward feed multi-effect mechanical vapor compression desalination system : An exergo-economic approach, *Energy*. 140, 1107–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.08.053>.

M.A. Jamil, S.M. Zubair, (2018) Effect of feed flow arrangement and number of evaporators on the performance of multi-effect mechanical vapor compression desalination systems, *Desalination*. 429, 76–87. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.12.007>.